	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/791,699	SCHAAP ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katherine W. Mitchell	3677
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Katherine W. Mitchell.	(3)	
(2) Norman Latket.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>01 October 2007</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>no</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>an examiner's amendment per attached was agreed to, with Mr. Latket correcting noting the need for proper antecedant basis for the cross sectional area in claim 13.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF T	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPLICANT IS ODAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
	1/1/1	1.11000

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required